

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,634	YAMANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Evelyn A. Lester	2873	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Evelyn A. Lester.

(3) _____.

 (2) Surinder Sachar (reg.no. 34,423).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A further restriction requirement is being made and an election of invention was needed from the Applicant's representative. No election resulted from the phone call.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)